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ABSTRACT

A laboratory procedure for steeping maize, which closely simulates commercial practice in wet milling, was developed and used to determine the effects of multiple-enzyme treatment on wet-milling performance. Yellow dent maize was continuously and countercurrently steeped for 24 hr in a solution containing 1.25% of a multiple-enzyme preparation and 0.20% sulfur dioxide and then wet milled. Yields and protein contents of wet-milling fractions were compared with those of maize steeped for 48 hr in 0.20% sulfur dioxide alone. Reducing the steeping time without adding enzymes reduced starch yield and increased the protein content of the starch. Maize steeped with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide for 24 hr gave nearly the same yields and purities of fractions as maize steeped for 48 hr in sulfur dioxide alone. Thermal properties, pasting properties, and colors of the starch were unaffected by steeping in multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide.

Watson (1984) and May (1987) have discussed modern industrial practices for wet milling of maize. Steeping is the first and most important step in the milling process. Improper or inadequate steeping fails to produce normal yields of starch (about 90% of the total starch) and low residual protein contents in the starch (0.40% protein or less). But steeping is, by far, the most time-consuming step in the process, typically requiring 36–52 hr. New approaches to steeping are being sought to make wet milling more cost-effective and to reduce the cost of maize starch and starch-derived products, such as sweeteners and ethanol.

One approach that has been considered is the addition of enzymes, which was recently reviewed and studied by Steinke and Johnson (1991). They used a static batch-steeping procedure to evaluate the feasibility of adding multiple enzymes to steep water containing typical levels of sulfur dioxide to enhance starch separation and reduce steeping time. Steeping for 24 hr in a solution of multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide produced milling results equivalent to those obtained by steeping for 48 hr in a 0.20% sulfur dioxide solution alone.

In industry, however, steeping is accomplished in a continuous, countercurrent manner by putting maize that has been steeped the longest in contact with downstream process water to which 0.10–0.20% sulfur dioxide has been added. Each subsequent steep tank receives steep water from the previous steeping tank, until the steep water (about 20 L/ bu) finally exits the steeping tank containing maize that has been steeped for the least amount of time. Although Watson et al. (1951) developed a laboratory system for continuous, static, batch-advanced steeping, most steeping studies (Cox et al. 1944, Zipf et al. 1950, Watson et al. 1955a, Anderson 1963, Vojnovich et al. 1975, Krochta et al. 1981, Weller et al. 1988) have used static batch procedures. These procedures do not simulate the industrial continuous, countercurrent flow
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of gradually declining sulfur dioxide concentration and increasing solubles concentration or the mixing brought about by countercurrent flow and recirculation of steep water within each steeping vessel. These differences may affect results and lead to erroneous conclusions. Thus, a laboratory steeping procedure that more closely simulates commercial practice would give more reliable predictions of what to expect in industry.

The objectives of this study were to develop a laboratory continuous, countercurrent steeping system, which closely simulates industrial practice; to determine whether the presence of multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide enhances starch separation and facilitates reduced steeping time in continuous, countercurrent steeping; and to evaluate the effects of such steeping on the quality of starch.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Steeping Treatments
Maize was given three steeping treatments. The first treatment, 48 hr of steeping with 0.20% sulfur dioxide, was used as the control. The second treatment involved the same control procedure except that steeping time was reduced to 24 hr to examine the effects of reduced steeping time. In the third treatment, maize was steeped with an experimental multiple-enzyme system in a 0.20% sulfur dioxide solution for 24 hr.

The 0.20% sulfur dioxide solution was prepared by diluting a 6% sulfurous acid solution. The multiple-enzyme system (Table I) consisted of cellulase, hemicellulase, pectinase, β-glucanase, and bromelin, as used in previous batchwise steeping trials of Steinke and Johnson (1991). All five enzymes were added in equal amounts (0.25%), regardless of their individual activities, to a 0.20% sulfur dioxide solution. The total enzyme concentration was 1.25%.

Steeping System
The continuous countercurrent steeping system developed in this study (Fig. 1) was based on commercial practice as described by Watson (1984) and May (1987). The steeping vessels (1-6) were 1,200-ml jacketed glass vessels arranged in a battery of six vessels (three more are needed for loading and are waiting). Each vessel was stoppered and fitted with four Teflon tubes. Three tubes were inlets (one for recirculating within the vessel, another for incoming steep water from the previous vessel, the third for inflow of fresh steeping solution), and the fourth was an outlet for overflow into the next succeeding steeping vessel. The depth of the overflow tube into each steeping vessel was set to maintain 1,050 ml. The bottom of each vessel was funnel-shaped and fitted with a Teflon stopcock. A perforated acrylic disk was placed in the bottom of the vessel to hold the maize. The temperature was maintained at 50 ± 2°C by circulating water through the vessel jackets and by using a water bath coupled to a centrifugal pump.

Solution was advanced through the steeping vessels by positive pressure from the smaller peristaltic pump (B in Fig. 1) and by negative pressure from a second peristaltic pump (C). Solution was recirculated within the vessels by a 10-channel peristaltic drive (E). The system for delivering fresh steeping solution was automated. Inflow of steeping solution into each vessel was actuated by a normally closed two-way solenoid valve (I1–I6) controlled by a stepping programmer (H) and timer (G).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Optimum pH Range</th>
<th>Optimum Temperature Range (°C)</th>
<th>Activity (units/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cellulase</td>
<td>Trichoderma viride</td>
<td>4.0–6.0</td>
<td>50–60</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicellulase</td>
<td>Aspergillus niger</td>
<td>3.0–6.0</td>
<td>30–80</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Glucanase</td>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>4.0–7.0</td>
<td>40–55</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectinase</td>
<td>A. niger</td>
<td>3.5–6.0</td>
<td>20–50</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromelin</td>
<td>Pineapple</td>
<td>4.0–5.5</td>
<td>45–55</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO.
*Purchased from Novo Industri, Wilton, CT.

Fig. 1. Laboratory countercurrent steeping system.
by a timer and a recycle module. The solution was advanced to succeeding steeping vessels by an electromechanical multicircuit stepping programmer.

**Steeping Procedure**

Each vessel was filled with 300 g of Pioneer Hybrid 3475 (Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., Johnston, IA) yellow dent maize harvested from an Iowa State University test plot during 1987. The maize was dried with forced air to approximately 15.5% moisture at room temperature and screened with a Carter dockage tester to remove foreign materials. Each steeping vessel was inoculated with 5 ml of steep liquor (Penford, Ltd., Cedar Rapids, IA) as it was brought on line to enable the establishment of lactic acid-producing microorganisms. However, we cannot be certain that the level of *Lactobacillus* fermentation was the same as is achieved in industrial practice.

The steeping solution entered the system from a 6-L Erlenmeyer flask (A), was delivered to the top of the first steeping vessel, traveled down through the grain until the steeping solution level rose to the bottom of the overflow tube, and overflowed into the top of the next steeping vessel. At the same time, steeping solution was recirculated within the steeping vessels at the rate of seven parts to one part of new solution or carryover from the previous steeping vessel. This gave a flux for recirculation that was the same as typically found in industry. Once the sixth vessel became filled with steeping solution, the step switch closed solenoid valve 1, and opened solenoid valve 2, to fresh steeping solution. This brought a new vessel on line, and the fully steeped maize in vessel 1 was removed from the system. Thus, six steeping vessels were on line at any one time. This sequence of events continued in a circular fashion around the battery of six vessels until equilibrium was reached. The system was considered in equilibrium when the pH values of the steeping vessels no longer changed. This required about 96 hr and discarding of the maize from the first 12 lots.

The 48-hr steeping period required 8 hr to fill each vessel. During the filling period, the steep water was also recirculated within the steeping vessel. After 8 hr, the steep water overflowed into the succeeding steeping vessel and so proceeded through the steeping vessels in 8-hr stages. After 48 hr (at which point the sixth steeping vessel in the series was full), and every 8 hr thereafter, a new steeping vessel came on line, and the oldest maize in the series was removed for wet milling. During start-up, the fresh solution flow rate was set at 1.7 ml/min until the sixth vessel was reached. At this time, the flow rate was increased to 2.2 ml/min and continued at this rate for the rest of the run to produce light steep liquor (246 ml per 300-g batch of maize). The light steep liquor was collected and stored at 4°C. The recirculation rate was maintained at 15.5 ml/min in each steeping vessel.

The 24-hr steeping treatment was run the same way except that the filling time was halved to 4 hr, and all flow rates were doubled to 3.4 ml/min for the first five vessels, 4.4 ml/min for subsequent vessels, and 31.1 ml/min for the recirculation rate. The same amount of light steep liquor (246 ml per 300-g batch of corn) was collected. The 24-hr method was used for both enzyme and nonenzyme treatments. The temperature and pH of each steeping vessel were measured at the end of each 4- or 8-hr stage to monitor the system.

**Milling Procedure**

After steeping, the light steep liquor was drained, and the steeped maize was removed. Wet milling began immediately, following the method described by Steinke and Johnson (1991). Six samples from each treatment were evaluated for wet-milling properties.

**Compositions and Yields of Wet-Milling Fractions**

Light steep liquor was analyzed for pH and for sulfur dioxide and solids contents. Sulfur dioxide content was determined by titrating with a standard iodine-potassium iodide solution adjusted to be equivalent to 0.001 g of sulfur dioxide per milliliter (Watson et al 1955b). Soluble starch was used as an indicator. Solids contents of steeping solutions and starch wash water samples were determined by drying 10-ml samples at 65°C for 24 hr and then drying to a constant weight in a vacuum oven at 65°C.

All milling fractions, except starch, were dried for 24 hr in an oven at 65°C followed by 8 hr in a vacuum oven at 65°C. Starch was dried in an oven at 40°C for 48 hr to minimize damage to the starch. The moisture contents of maize and dried fractions were determined by the vacuum oven method (AACC 1983). Fraction yields were determined on a dry-matter basis.

The nitrogen content of each fraction was determined by the macro-Kjeldahl method with a Kjeltac digestion and distilling system (Tecator, Inc., Hoganas, Sweden). The factor of 6.25 g of protein per gram of nitrogen was used to calculate the protein contents.

**Starch Pasting Properties**

The pasting properties of starch slurries from maize processed by the three steeping treatments were determined with a Brabender Viscoamylograph (C. W. Brabender Instruments, Inc., Hackensack, NJ) and modified methods of Mazurs et al (1957). Starch solutions (8%) composed of 40 g of dry starch and 460 g of distilled water were analyzed for peak pasting temperature (temperature at which there was an increase of 10 BU from the onset of gelatinization); peak viscosity, irrespective of the temperature at which the pasting peak was attained; and setback. The pastes reached 95°C; viscosity after the paste was cooked for 15 min at 95°C; and viscosity of the cooked paste during cooling (setback).

**Thermal Properties of Starch**

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used to study the thermal properties of starch processed by different steeping methods. A Perkin-Elmer DSC-7 calorimeter (Norwalk, CT) equipped with a thermal analysis data station was used. Samples (4 mg) of starch were weighed into aluminum sample pans with 8 µl of water, and the pans were hermetically sealed. A pan containing only 8 µl of water was used as a reference. Samples were heated at the rate of 10°C/min from 30 to 120°C. Heat of gelatinization, onset temperature, and peak temperature were computed. All samples were run in triplicate.

**Starch Color**

Starch color was measured with a Labscan 5100 Spectrocolorimeter (Hunter Associates Laboratory, Inc., Reston, VA). The Hunter L, a, b opponent color scale was used, and values were calculated relative to the Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage (CIE) 1964 10° Standard Observer.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Comparison of Wet-Milling Results with Previous Studies**

Table II shows the yields and protein contents of products from wet milling of maize by our continuous countercurrent steeping and wet-milling methods and the corresponding results from industry and previous laboratory methods. In previous batch systems, unlike in industry, the maize does not come in contact with gradually increasing concentrations of solubles and metabolites from *Lactobacillus* fermentation and decreasing concentrations of sulfur dioxide. Also unlike industrial systems, these batch systems are static, achieving little mixing. The countercurrent steeping method of Watson et al (1951) was also not truly continuous, but rather a static, batch-advanced, countercurrent system; nor was the steeping solution recycled within the steeping vessel, which limited mixing. These differences in method may affect chemical and biological changes during steeping and thereby also influence wet-milling characteristics.

Germ yields were comparable among the steeping methods, but batch steeping resulted in germ with higher protein contents (Table II), perhaps because of less mixing and, thus, less driving force for protein solubilization and leaching. Batch steeping results in higher fiber yields, whereas continuous countercurrent steeping, although closer to industry yields, may underestimate them. The
present continuous countercurrent steeping and milling pro-
cedures produced yields (64.9%) and purities (0.42% protein) of
starch more typical of those found in industrial practice (67.5% 
and 0.30%, respectively). Most laboratory methods result in a
small fraction, known as "squeegee" or "inseparables," that is
difficult to separate into starch and gluten. This fraction is not
separated in industry. If the squeegee fractions were included
with starch, the yields and protein contents of the starch recovered
with the present countercurrent steeping method would exceed
industrial results (69.3% starch with 0.59% protein). All laboratory
methods produced gluten with lower protein contents than
industry, although results with the present method more closely
approached those of industry.

The milling procedures used in the present study and in batch
studies by Steinke and Johnson (1991) were identical, except for
the steeping step. Much less variability about the mean yields
and protein contents was observed in the present study, indicating
that the results were more uniform and reliable with continuous
countercurrent steeping. We believe that the steeping and milling
procedures used in this study produced results that are more
representative of those achieved by industry than previous
simulations.

Steep Water Composition

Table III shows the pH profiles within the battery of steeping
vessels for the three steeping treatments. Maize constituents have
considerable buffering capacity. The control steeping solutions
were more acidic than those in industry (pH 3.4-4.0) because
starch wash water, with its attendant buffering capacity, is used
to prepare sulfur dioxide solution for steeping (Watson 1984).
When the steeping period was shortened from 48 to 24 hr, the
steeping solutions became more acidic, especially in early steeping
vessels, because of less time to leach buffering solubles. The added
enzymes also have considerable buffering capacity, and the pH
values rose considerably, especially in early steeping vessels.
Soluble solids profiles (less the weight of added enzymes) of
the steeping solution are also shown in Table III. As expected,
when steeping time was reduced from 48 to 24 hr, soluble solids
leached from the grain into the steeping solution decreased sub-
stantially. However, when steeping for 24 hr was done in the
presence of multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide, the soluble solids
content was similar to that of the 48-hr control. This suggests
that the extent of cellular disruption was similar in these two
treatments.

Higher levels of residual sulfur dioxide were retained in steeping
solutions of earlier steeping vessels (Table III). This result indi-
cated a less extensive reduction of disulfide bonds in the protein
matrix of maize steeped for 24 hr compared with maize steeped
for 48 hr. Sulfur dioxide profiles were similar for the 48-hr control
and the 24-hr treatment with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide,
which suggested that the protein matrix was disrupted to a similar
degree. However, some sulfur dioxide may react with the enzymes
and be consumed.

Wet-Milling Characteristics

The maize wet-milling industry expects high starch yields (>65%
of the dry weight), low protein content in starch (<0.4% db),
high yields of gluten (5.7% db), and high protein content in gluten
(60% on a 10% mb). The mean yields (dry-weight basis for six
replicates) and protein contents for each of the wet-milling frac-
tions from the three steeping treatments in this study are shown
in Table IV. The yields and protein contents for the 48-hr control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germ</td>
<td>Fraction yield, %</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>14.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>Fraction yield, %</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>19.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>Fraction yield, %</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>58.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>62.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluten</td>
<td>Fraction yield, %</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>56.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squeegee</td>
<td>Fraction yield, %</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubles</td>
<td>Fraction yield, %</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aAnderson and Watson (1982).
bSteinke et al (1991); mean of six replicates plus or minus one standard
deviation.
cWatson et al (1951).
dMean of six replicates plus or minus one standard deviation.

Equivalent to inseparables fraction. This fraction is not produced in
industry and was not reported by Watson et al (1951).

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Steeping Treatment</th>
<th>Steeping Time (hr)</th>
<th>Steeping Vessel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE IV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>48-Hr Steeping Control</th>
<th>24-Hr Steeping Enzyme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germ</td>
<td>6.72 a</td>
<td>7.45 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>14.6 a</td>
<td>17.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>10.65 a</td>
<td>11.50 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>9.9 a</td>
<td>11.1 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glunten</td>
<td>64.94 a</td>
<td>56.95 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>0.42 a</td>
<td>0.82 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inseparables</td>
<td>5.57 a</td>
<td>6.34 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>47.6 a</td>
<td>44.4 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubles</td>
<td>4.44 a</td>
<td>10.78 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein, %</td>
<td>3.2 a</td>
<td>1.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inseparables</td>
<td>7.68 a</td>
<td>6.98 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMeans within a row followed by the same letter do not differ significantly
at the 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test.
bComposite of starch wash solubles and steep liquor solubles (enzyme
addition subtracted).
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were nearly typical of industry practice, as has been previously discussed.

Wet-milling characteristics were significantly poorer in the 24-hr control than in the 48-hr control. Higher germ protein contents in the 24-hr control indicated less leaching of soluble protein into the steeping solution, which made germs denser and consequently more difficult to separate. Fiber yields were high in the 24-hr control, but not nearly as high (19.2%) as those observed in previous batch studies (Steinke and Johnson 1991). Starch separated from gluten very poorly in the 24-hr control, as indicated by the low starch yield, high level of protein contamination in the starch, high yield of inseparables, and low protein content of gluten. We observed many small intact pieces of horny endosperm after fine grinding of the 24-hr control that could not be expected to separate efficiently into starch and gluten.

Inclusion of multiple enzymes in the steeping solution greatly improved the wet-milling properties of maize when the steeping time was reduced to 24 hr. Both the yield and the protein content of the germ from the 24-hr treatment with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide were more typical of those from the 48-hr control treatment. Total fiber yield was the highest for the treatment with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide. Previous batch-steeping studies (Steinke and Johnson 1991) indicated that the increased yield of starch resulted from enhanced starch-fiber separation as well as enhanced starch-gluten separation. Enhanced starch-fiber separation was not observed in these continuous countercurrent steeping experiments. The benefit from adding enzymes to continuous countercurrent steeping solutions came from enhanced starch-gluten separation only.

Starch yields were much higher and yields of inseparables were lower (less than even the 48-hr control) in the 24-hr treatment with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide. Starch purity was significantly greater from maize steeped with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide for 24 hr than in maize steeped in sulfur dioxide solution alone for 48 hr. Gluten yields from the multiple enzyme treatment were close to those of the 48-hr control, and the gluten had more protein. Soluble solids from the 24-hr treatment with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide were greater than those from either control treatment. We believe that the addition of multiple enzymes to steeping solution enhances starch-gluten separation when steeping is done under conditions that closely simulate industrial steeping and milling practices.

**Starch Pasting Properties**

The pasting characteristics of starch obtained from the three steeping procedures used to wet mill maize were determined (Table V and Fig. 2). All treatments gave starch with typical pasting properties of maize (Greenwood 1976). Samples did not differ significantly for any of the five critical points on the Brabender viscosity curves when the 48-hr control was compared with the 24-hr treatment with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide or with the 24-hr control. However, differences were significant between the 24-hr control and the 24-hr treatment with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide. Starch from the 24-hr control had a slightly higher peak temperature, lower peak viscosity, lower viscosity at setback, and delayed setback. The large differences in protein contents in starch of these two treatments were believed to be the cause of these differences in pasting characteristics. Differences observed in the pasting properties of starches obtained by 24-hr steeping with and without enzymes may be due to the adherence of hydrophobic protein to starch granules, which would affect the ability of the granules to swell.

**Thermal Properties of Starch**

The thermal properties of starch processed by the three treatments are shown in Table VI. The thermograms from all three treatments were similar to those previously obtained for laboratory-isolated maize starch (Krueger et al 1987). No significant differences between treatment values were found for gelatinization onset temperature, peak gelatinization temperature, or heat of gelatinization.
Starch Color

The chromatic dimensions for the degree of lightness ($L$) and the intensity of yellowness ($+b$) of starch processed by the three treatments are given in Table VII. The $L$ values for the 48-hr control and the multiple-enzyme treatment were significantly higher (lighter colored) than those for the 24-hr control treatment. Correspondingly, the $b$ values for the 24-hr control were also significantly higher, indicating more intense yellow color. These higher values are indications of higher levels of contaminating protein and associated pigments (carotenoids and xanthophylls). No significant differences in either $L$ or $b$ values were found when the starch from the 24-hr treatment with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide was compared with the starch from the 48-hr control treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

A laboratory maize-steeping system that closely simulates continuous countercurrent steeping in industry was developed and used to evaluate the feasibility of adding enzymes in steeping of maize to enhance separation of starch and reduce steeping time. Wet-milling results with maize steeped in this system more closely approach those of industry than those encountered in previous laboratory studies.

Reducing the steeping time from 48 to 24 hr without adding multiple enzymes to steeping solution (0.20% sulfur dioxide) adversely affected the wet-milling properties of maize. The addition of multiple enzymes to steeping solution in the continuous countercurrent steeping system enhanced starch-gluten separation. Nearly the same yields and protein contents of most wet-milled products were obtained from maize steeped for 24 hr with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide as were obtained from maize steeped for 48 hr in sulfur dioxide alone. The profiles for residual sulfur dioxide contents and soluble solids contents for the steep water of the 24-hr treatment with multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide were more like those of the 48-hr control, suggesting that similar extents of kernel softening were achieved. The pasting, thermal, and color properties of the starch separated from maize steeped in the presence of multiple enzymes and sulfur dioxide for 24 hr were equivalent to those of starch from maize steeped in sulfur dioxide for 48 hr.

This study has not elucidated which of the five enzymes used play critical functional roles or how much of each enzyme is effective. The level of enzymes used probably exceeds commercially practical levels today, but as costs for enzymes come down, enzyme-enhanced separation processes may become economically attractive.
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