

Effect of Resistant Starch on Intestinal Responses in Rats¹

G. S. RANHOTRA, J. A. GELROTH, K. ASTROTH, and G. J. EISENBRAUN²

ABSTRACT

Cereal Chem. 68(2):130-132

Native starch (NS) extracted from wheat and subjected to five autoclaving and cooling cycles contained 11.5% resistant starch (RS), which was measured as insoluble fiber; NS contained a mere 0.5% RS. Both starches were fed to groups of rats for four weeks (RS was fed with or without antibiotics). Compared with rats fed NS, those fed treated starch showed a sixfold (RS diet) or nearly 18-fold (RS with antibiotics)

increase in fecal wet weight; increases in fecal volumes paralleled increases in fecal weight. Rats fed treated starch (no antibiotics) digested 37.1% RS; those fed antibiotics digested only 14.3% RS. RS thus appears to be highly resistant to mammalian enzyme and may be classified as a component of fiber.

Heat processing of starchy foods increases the digestibility of starch (Ring et al 1988). However, a fraction of the starch may also be rendered resistant to mammalian enzymes and thus escape digestion. Retrogradation of amylose is believed to lead to the formation of resistant starch (RS) (Berry 1986, Ring et al 1988).

Many bakery products contain up to 3% RS; some breakfast cereals contain even more (Englyst and Cummings 1985, Englyst et al 1987). By the enzymatic-gravimetric method now widely used in the United States to measure fiber (Prosky et al 1988), RS is measured as insoluble fiber.

The occurrence of RS in foods may have a significant health implication, especially if the diet is modified as recommended (Cronin and Shaw 1988) to include more complex carbohydrates (starches and fiber) or if RS is added to foods as a fiber component. Even when not added as a fiber component, the formation of RS in traditional foods can be substantially enhanced, if desired, by modifying selected processing parameters.

When present in significant amounts, RS lowers the caloric density of foods. RS is also reported to elicit a low glycemic

response (Jenkins et al 1982, Ring et al 1988). In addition, RS can modify the intracolonic environment to favorably alter toxicological functions (Mallet et al 1988). It may also provide protection against colorectal cancer (Burkitt et al 1972, Cummings 1985) by shortening intestinal transit time and by providing fecal bulk. This study was undertaken to assess processing parameters that favor RS formation in isolated wheat starch and to examine the effect of RS on fecal bulking characteristics. Rats were used as the test model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparing Resistant Starch

Wheat flour, kneaded into a dough using distilled water, was washed with water several times to recover native starch. This starch was centrifuged (medium speed, IEC EXD centrifuge, Needham, MA) several times to remove starch tailings (scraped from the top), air-dried, and then stored at 4°C. At a later date, the starch was mixed with distilled water (20% starch suspension, w/w), autoclaved (126°C, 15 psi) for 1 hr for five successive days, freeze-dried, and then finely ground (to pass through a 0.5-mm diameter screen). After each 1-hr autoclaving step, samples were stored at 4°C for 24 hr, because prolonged cooling after autoclaving is a prerequisite for RS formation. This method, which evolved from a series of preliminary studies (Table I), was used to prepare bulk quantities of RS for use in test diets.

¹Presented at the AACC 75th Annual Meeting, Dallas, TX, October 1990.

²Nutrition Research, American Institute of Baking, 1213 Bakers Way, Manhattan, KS 66502.

Test Diets

The native starch used to formulate diet A (Table II) contained only 0.5% RS, a value similar to that reported by Berry (1986). Treated starch contained 11.47% RS, almost all (97–100%) measured as insoluble fiber (Prosky et al 1988). Native starch and treated starch constituted 74.9% of the diets; other diet components added to meet the rats' nutrient requirements (NRC 1987) accounted for the remaining 25.1% (Table II). Antibiotics, where used, were added to the drinking water and included streptomycin sulfate (5 mg/ml), neomycin sulfate (4 mg/ml), bacitracin (4 mg/ml), and amphotericin B (0.1 mg/ml); the levels used were as prescribed by Srivastava et al (1976). Water containing antibiotics was freshly prepared on a regular basis.

Animals

Three groups of male, weanling rats (10 rats per diet) of the Sprague-Dawley strain (Harlan Sprague-Dawley, Indianapolis, IN) were housed individually in mesh-bottom, stainless steel cages in a controlled environment. Each rat was allowed to consume an adequate, and identical, amount of total diet during the four-week test period. Deionized water was offered ad libitum. Body weight records were maintained.

Fecal Collection

For each rat, feces were collected quantitatively throughout the four-week test period, pooled, air-dried, weighed, and stored under refrigeration. A few freshly voided fecal pellets were also collected at frequent intervals, analyzed for moisture content, and then added to the pool collection.

Analytical

RS in starch samples was determined by the enzymatic-gravimetric method of Prosky et al (1988); this method measures insoluble fiber and soluble fiber separately. Moisture in freshly voided fecal samples was determined by air-drying the feces at room temperature. Calculated factors (Table III) were used to convert dry fecal weight (air-dried feces) to wet fecal weight. Fecal volume was measured in a long-stem graduated cylinder using fine sand as the embedding medium (Table III). Feces recovered from the sand were finely ground and analyzed for RS again using the method of Prosky et al (1988).

Statistical

Mean comparisons were made with Duncan's multiple-range test using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS 1982).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Formation of Resistant Starch

A positive correlation is reported to exist (Berry 1986, Sievert and Pomeranz 1989) between amylose content of the starch and RS formation. Changes in processing parameters such as autoclaving and cooling cycles and freeze-drying steps also affect RS formation. Autoclaving and cooling cycles appear to favor RS formation more than autoclave temperature (above 100°C) or the freeze-drying step (Bjorck et al 1987, Table I).

In isolated wheat starch, Bjorck et al (1987) reported RS formation of 8%, a value similar to that reported by Sievert and Pomeranz (1989). Berry (1986), however, reported a yield of up to 15% RS after five repeated cycles of autoclaving and cooling. In the present study, yields of 11.47% (bulk quantity) or 11.95% RS (preliminary studies, Table I) were obtained when native starch was subjected to five repeated cycles of autoclaving and cooling. These various studies suggest that a maximum of about half of the 20–25% amylose present in wheat (Ring et al 1988) can be converted to RS; amylomaize starch, which contains 70% amylose, has shown much higher yields.

Resistant Starch in Diets

At the level used, the native starch diet provided 0.4% RS (diet A), and treated starch (diets B and C) provided 8.6% RS

(Table II). For the entire four-week period, each rat consumed a total of 0.7 g (diet A) or 16.3 g (diets B and C) of RS.

Growth Response

Because all diets contained the same level (12%) and source of protein (casein) and were fed to the rats in identical amounts (Table II), the growth response of groups of rats fed these diets differed minimally (Table III).

TABLE I
Effect of Processing on the Content of Resistant Starch
in Isolated Wheat Starch

Sample	Number of Times Starch is			RS ^b (%)
	Autoclaved ^a	Cooled ^a	Freeze-dried	
1	0	0	0	0.46
2	1	1	1	4.35
3	1	1	1	5.17
4	1	2	1	8.89
5	2	2	1	5.56
6	2	2	2	6.15
7	3	2	1	5.05
8	3	3	1	6.53
9	3	3	1	8.98
10	3	3	2	6.59
11	4	4	1	7.48
12	5	1	1	9.49
13	5	5	1	11.95

^aIn a 24-hr period.

^bResistant starch.

TABLE II
Composition of Test Diets

Components (%)	Native Starch A	Treated Starch	
		No Antibiotics B	Antibiotics ^a C
Starch	74.9	74.9	74.9
Mineral mix ^b	4.7	4.7	4.7
Vitamin mix ^c	1.0	1.0	1.0
Casein ^d	14.4	14.4	14.4
Soybean oil	5.0	5.0	5.0
Resistant starch, %	0.4	8.6	8.6

^aAdded to drinking water.

^bContained (in sucrose base) Ca, P, Fe, Mg, K, Na, Cr, Cu, I, Mn, Se, and Zn to meet rats' requirements (NRC 1987).

^cAIN vitamin mixture 76 obtained from ICN Biochemicals, Cleveland, OH.

^dContained 83.4% protein.

TABLE III
Intestinal Responses in Rats^a

Variable	Diet		
	A	B	C
Starch	Native	Treated	Treated
Antibiotics	No	No	Yes
Diet intake, g	190 ± 0 a	190 ± 0 a	190 ± 1 a
Body weight gain, ^b g	50 ± 4 a	48 ± 2 a	49 ± 6 a
Fecal measurements			
Wet weight, ^c g	5.1 ± 0.4 a	37.2 ± 7.5 b	95.8 ± 4.4 c
Dry weight, g	2.5 ± 0.2 a	16.2 ± 3.3 b	29.0 ± 1.3 c
Volume, ml	2.3 ± 0.3 a	13.8 ± 2.3 b	21.8 ± 1.2 c
Digestibility of RS ^d			
RS consumed, g	0.7 ± 0.0 a	16.3 ± 0.0 b	16.3 ± 0.1 b
RS excreted, g	0.4 ± 0.0 a	9.4 ± 2.7 b	14.0 ± 0.6 c
RS digested, %	49.4 ± 5.8 a	37.1 ± 12.9 b	14.3 ± 3.7 c

^aValues are averages ± SD (8–10 rats per diet). Means in a row not followed by the same letter are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

^bInitial body weights averaged 52 g for each group.

^cFactors used to convert dry fecal weight to wet fecal weight: diet A, 2.1; diet B, 2.3; diet C, 3.3.

^dResistant starch.

Fecal Bulking Effect

Human epidemiological studies show a lower incidence of colorectal cancer in population groups that consume diets high in fiber (Trowell et al 1985). Various hypotheses are put forward to explain this, including one postulating that a higher fecal bulk would result in the dilution of potential carcinogens in the intestinal lumen.

Fecal bulking capacity of fiber sources varies. Sources that are high in insoluble fiber such as wheat bran provide more fecal bulk than those that are high in soluble fiber, a fraction highly fermentable in the colon (Nyman and Asp 1982, Nyman 1985).

Including treated starch in the diet increased the wet fecal weight over sixfold in comparison with native starch (diet B vs. A); the increase in dry fecal weight was of a similar magnitude (Table III). When the hind-gut bacterial population was effectively, if not completely, suppressed by antibiotics, the increase in wet fecal weight was even greater, nearly 18-fold (diet C vs. A). Increases in fecal volume paralleled increases in fecal weight; volume increases were fivefold for diet B and over eightfold for diet C compared with diet A (Table III). Thus, fecal weight and volume measurements suggested that the fecal bulking capacity of RS (with or without antibiotics) was substantial.

Digestibility of Resistant Starch

Measurement of RS digestibility was based on intake and fecal output data (Table III). RS is viewed by some (Berry 1986, Bjorck et al 1987) as a component like soluble fiber that is easily fermented by bacteria. It is, however, measured as essentially all insoluble fiber and expected to ferment less readily than soluble fiber. In the group of rats fed treated starch but not antibiotics, about one-third of the RS consumed was fermented (Table III). This contrasts with the high degree (80–92%) of metabolism reported by Bjorck et al (1987), who incorporated heat-treated starch to provide up to 5% RS in the diet. In the present study, when hind-gut fermentation was suppressed (by antibiotics), only 14.3% of the RS consumed was digested (diet C). This suggests that RS is highly resistant to mammalian amylolytic enzymes. Extending this observation to a human situation may mean that RS obtained through processed foods or added to foods can be an effective fecal bulking agent and, thus, should be properly classified as a fiber component as suggested earlier by Berry (1986).

LITERATURE CITED

BERRY, C. S. 1986. Resistant starch: Formation and measurement of starch that survives exhaustive digestion with amylolytic enzymes during

- the determination of dietary fiber. *J. Cereal Sci.* 4:301.
- BJORCK, I., NYMAN, M., PEDERSEN, B., SILJESTROM, M., ASP, N. G., and EGGUM, B. O. 1987. Formation of enzyme resistant starch during autoclaving of wheat starch: Studies in vitro and in vivo. *J. Cereal Sci.* 6:159.
- BURKITT, D. P., WALKER, A. R., and PAINTER, N. S. 1972. Effect of dietary fiber on stools and transit times and its role in the causation of disease. *Lancet* 2:1408.
- CRONIN, F. J., and SHAW, A. M. 1988. Summary of dietary recommendations for healthy Americans. *Nutr. Today* 2(6):26.
- CUMMINGS, J. 1985. Cancer of the large bowel. In: *Dietary Fiber, Fiber Depleted Foods and Disease*. H. Trowell, D. P. Burkitt, and K. Heaton, eds. Academic Press: New York.
- ENGLYST, H. N., and CUMMINGS, J. H. 1985. Digestion of the polysaccharides of some cereal foods in the human small intestine. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 42:778.
- ENGLYST, H. N., TROWELL, H., and SOUTHGATE, D. A. T. 1987. Dietary fiber and resistant starch. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 46:873.
- JENKINS, D. J. A., GHAFARI, H., WOLEVER, T. M. S., TAYLOR, R. H., JENKINS, A. L., BARKER, H. M., FIELDEN, H., and BOWLING, A. C. 1982. Relationship between rate of digestion of foods and post-prandial glycemia. *Diabetologia* 22:450.
- MALLET, A. K., BERNE, C. A., YOUNG, P. J., ROWLAND, I. R., and BERRY, C. 1988. Influence of starches of low digestibility on the rat caecal micro flora. *Brit. J. Nutr.* 60:597.
- NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL. 1987. Nutrient requirements of laboratory animals. Page 23 in: *Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals*. National Academy of Sciences: Washington, DC.
- NYMAN, M. 1985. Fermentation of Dietary Fiber in the Intestinal Tract. Dept. Food Chemistry, University of Lund, Sweden.
- NYMAN, M., and ASP, N. G. 1982. Fermentation of dietary fiber components in the rat intestinal tract. *Brit. J. Nutr.* 47:357.
- PROSKY, L., ASP, N. G., SCHWEIZER, T. F., DEVRIES, J. W., and FURDA, I. 1988. Determination of insoluble, soluble, and total dietary fiber in food products. Interlaboratory Study. *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.* 71(5):1017
- RING, S. G., GEE, J. M., WHITTAM, M., ORFORD, P., and JOHNSON, I. T. 1988. Resistant starch: Its chemical form in foodstuffs and effect on digestibility in vitro. *Food Chem.* 28:97.
- SAS INSTITUTE. 1982. *SAS User's Guide: Statistics*. The Institute: Cary, NC.
- SIEVERT, O., and POMERANZ, Y. 1989. Enzyme-resistant starch. I. Characterization and evaluation by enzymatic, thermoanalytical, and microscopic methods. *Cereal Chem.* 66:342.
- SRIVASTAVA, K.K., POLLARD, M., and WAGNER, M. 1976. Bacterial decontamination and antileukemic therapy of AKR mice. *Infect. Immun.* 14:1179.
- TROWELL, H. 1985. Dietary fiber: A paradigm. In: *Dietary Fiber, Fiber Depleted Foods and Disease*. H. Trowell, D. P. Burkitt, and K. Heaton, eds. Academic Press: New York.

[Received March 12, 1990. Revision received August 17, 1990. Accepted August 21, 1990.]