

ERRATA

Cereal Chemistry, Vol. 61:No. 6
(November-December 1984)

On page 492, in the article entitled "Effect on the Binding of Calcium Ions by Soybean Proteins," the figures appearing over the captions for Figures 1 and 2 were reversed. The figures are correctly placed over the captions here.




Fig. 1. Hydrogen ion titration curves and difference curve of deionized protein. Upper curves: ●—● = no added Ca^{2+} , ionic strength 0.01; ▲---▲ = 25 moles of Ca^{2+} added per 10^5 g of protein, ionic strength 0.02. Lowermost curve is the difference between the upper curves.




Fig. 2. Hydrogen ion titration curves and difference curve of untreated protein. Upper curves: ●—● = no added Ca^{2+} , ionic strength 0.01; ▲---▲ = 25 moles of Ca^{2+} added per 10^5 g of protein; ionic strength 0.02. Lowermost curve is the difference between the upper curves.

Cereal Chemistry, Vol. 62:No. 1
(January-February 1985)

In the article entitled "Influence of Water Activity and Temperature on Dehulling of Buckwheat," an incorrect version of Figure 1 was printed. The correct version appears here. On page 34, the last sentence of the first paragraph should have read:

The calculated values of the monolayer (X_m) were 5.79–6.75 kg $\text{H}_2\text{O}/100$ kg DM for Mancan, 5.75–6.70 kg $\text{H}_2\text{O}/100$ kg DM for Tokyo, and 5.82–6.71 kg $\text{H}_2\text{O}/100$ kg DM for CM 169 buckwheat equilibrated at 1, 10, 25, and 40°C.




Fig. 1. Adsorption isotherms of CM 169 buckwheat at 1, 10, 25, and 40°C.